

## CONTINUITY ASPECTS ON $\lambda_g^\delta$ -CLOSED SETS

V. Vaishnavy<sup>1</sup> §, K. Sivakamasundari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and

Higher Education for Women

Coimbatore, INDIA

---

**Abstract:** This article aims at developing and characterizing various continuities concerned with  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets in topological spaces, followed by the notion of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open maps.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54CXX, 54C08

**Key Words:**  $\delta$ -closed sets,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed map and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open map

---

### 1. Introduction

Velicko[12] modelled  $\delta$ -closed sets in 1968, using the concept of regular closed sets defined by Stone[9] in 1937. The concept of  $\delta$ -closed sets are stronger than that of closed sets. Following this notion, several generalizations of  $\delta$ -closed sets came into existence. Among the various generalizations,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets[10] is the nearest weaker form of  $\delta$ -closed sets and more interestingly, the family of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets form an Alexandrov topology[11]. As continuity

---

Received: April 6, 2017

Revised: November 28, 2017

Published: September 21, 2018

© 2018 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

plays a phenomenal role in the study of topological spaces, few interesting type of continuities namely quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity, perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity, totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity, strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity are introduced and their properties are obtained. The nature of composition of mappings amongst the above mentioned types of continuity is brought into the lime light. The study of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness is initiated to answer the question, “Does  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness preserve the nature of the domain?”. The concepts of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open map and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed map are studied to find “Under what conditions these maps coincide?”.

## 2. Prerequisites

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

1. *regular closed* [9] if  $A = cl(int(A))$ .
2.  *$\delta$ -open* [12] if  $A$  is the union of regular open sets, the complement of  $\delta$ -open is called  $\delta$ -closed.
3.  *$\Lambda_\delta$ -set* [3] if  $\Lambda_\delta(A) = A$ , where  $\Lambda_\delta(A) = \bigcap O \in \delta O(X, \tau) \mid A \subseteq O$ .
4.  *$(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed* [3] if  $A = T \cap C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda_\delta$ -set and  $C$  is a  $\delta$ -closed set.
5.  *$\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed* [10] if  $cl_\delta(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open in  $X$ .

The complement of the above mentioned closed sets are called their respective open sets.

**Definition 2.2.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

1. *strongly continuous* [4] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is clopen in  $(X, \tau)$  for every subset  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
2. *super continuous* [6] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\delta$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  for every closed set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
3. *irresolute* [1](resp.  *$\delta$ -continuous*[8]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi-open(resp.  $\delta$ -open) in  $(X, \tau)$  for every semi-open(resp.  $\delta$ -open)  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

### 3. $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuity

**Definition 3.1.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous [11] if the inverse image of every closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ ,

- (i) The  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closure of  $A$  (briefly  $\lambda_g^\delta cl(A)$ ) in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is defined to be the intersection of all  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets containing  $A$ .
- (ii) The  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -interior of  $A$  (briefly  $\lambda_g^\delta int(A)$ ) in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is defined to be the union of all  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets contained in  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** For a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous;
- (ii)  $\lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(B))$ , for each  $B \in Y$ ;
- (iii)  $f(\lambda_g^\delta cl(A)) \subseteq cl(f(A))$ , for each  $A \in X$ ;
- (iv)  $f^{-1}(int(B)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B))$ , for each  $B \in Y$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $B \in Y$ .  $cl(B)$  then is closed in  $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(cl(B))$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(cl(B)) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(cl(B))) \supseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(B))$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $A \in X$  then  $f(A) \in Y$ . By (ii),  $f^{-1}(cl(f(A))) \supseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(f(A))) \supseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(A)$  and hence  $f(\lambda_g^\delta cl(A)) \subseteq cl(f(A))$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $B \in Y$ . By (iii),  $f(\lambda_g^\delta cl(X \setminus f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq cl(f(X \setminus f^{-1}(B))) \Rightarrow f(X \setminus \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq cl(Y \setminus B) = Y \setminus int(B) \Rightarrow X \setminus \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Y \setminus int(B)) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(int(B)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B))$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $B$  be an open subset of  $Y$ . By (iv),  $f^{-1}(int(B)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B)) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B))$ . But  $\lambda_g^\delta int(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $X$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous with  $(X, \tau)$  being an almost weakly Hausdorff space then  $f$  is continuous.

### 4. Other continuities on $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets

**Definition 4.1.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

1. *quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous* if the inverse image of every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
2. *perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous* if the inverse image of every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$  is clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** For a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (i) *quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous;*
- (ii)  *$f^{-1}(B)$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , for every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed  $B$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ;*
- (iii) *For each  $x \in X$  and each  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set  $B$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists an open set  $A$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $x \in X$  and let  $B$  be an open set containing  $f(x)$  then by hypothesis  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set containing  $x$ . Let  $A = f^{-1}(B)$  then  $f(A) = f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $B$  be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $Y$  with  $x \in f^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B$  then by hypothesis there exists an open set  $A$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$ . That is,  $x \in A \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B)$  is open in  $X$ . □

**Theorem 4.3.** For a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following statements are true.

- (i) *Every strongly continuous function is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function but not conversely.*
- (ii) *Every perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function but not conversely.*
- (iii) *Every strongly continuous function is a perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function.*

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an identity mapping. Take  $A = \{a, b\}$  in  $Y$  then  $f$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous but not strongly continuous since  $A = \{a, b\}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  whereas  $f^{-1}(A) = \{a, b\}$  is open but not closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $X, Y, \tau, \sigma, f$  and  $A$  be defined as in Example 4.4. Then  $f$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous but not perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous since  $A$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  whereas  $f^{-1}(A)$  is open but not closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a partition space [7],  $(Y, \sigma)$  be a topological space and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be any map. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $f$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous;
- (ii)  $f$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.

**Definition 4.7.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

1. *totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous* if the inverse image of every open subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .
2. *strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous* if the inverse image of every subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .
3. *contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous* if the inverse image of every closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** If  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are any two topological spaces with a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  then the following statements are true.

- (i) Every strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function but not conversely.
- (ii) Every totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function but not conversely.
- (iii) Every strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function but not conversely.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an identity mapping. Then  $f$  is totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous but not strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous since the inverse image of the closed set  $\{d\}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(X, \tau)$  but the inverse image of  $\{a\}$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.10.** Let  $X, Y, \tau$  and  $\sigma$  be defined as in Example 4.9. Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be defined as  $f(a) = d, f(b) = b, f(c) = c$  and  $f(d) = a$ . Then  $f$  is contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous but not totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous as the inverse image of  $\{d\}$  is  $\{a\}$  and  $\{a\}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open but not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.11.** Let  $X, Y, \tau, \sigma$  and  $f$  be defined as in Example 4.10. Then  $f$  is contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous but not strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous as the inverse image of  $\{b\}$  is  $\{b\}$  itself and  $\{b\}$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.12.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function, where  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a partition space. Then  $f$  is a strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function.

**Remark 4.13.** The composition of two contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous functions need not be a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function.

**Example 4.14.** Let  $X = Y = Z = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}, \sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\eta = \{X, \phi, \{c\}\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = c, f(b) = a, f(c) = b$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  as an identity mapping. Then  $f$  and  $g$  are contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous functions but  $g \circ f$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous as  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}\{c\}) = \{c\}$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Remark 4.15.** A significant result on the composition of various continuities is established in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.16.** For topological spaces  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma), (Z, \eta)$ ,  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma), g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  and  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  the following results are true.

- (i) If  $f$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is super continuous then  $g \circ f$  is continuous.
- (ii) If  $f$  is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous then  $g \circ f$  is a continuous.
- (iii) If  $f$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is super continuous then  $g \circ f$  is perfectly continuous.
- (iv) If  $f$  is continuous and  $g$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous) then  $g \circ f$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous).
- (v) If  $f$  is super continuous and  $g$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous) then  $g \circ f$  is perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous).
- (vi) If  $f$  is a perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is a strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous then  $g \circ f$  is a strongly continuous.
- (vii) If  $f$  is a strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is any map then  $g \circ f$  is a strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.

- (viii) If  $f$  is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous then  $g \circ f$  is a contra continuous function.
- (ix) If  $f$  is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is a continuous (resp. super continuous) then  $g \circ f$  is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.
- (x) If  $f$  is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $g$  is a continuous (resp. super continuous) then  $g \circ f$  is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.

**Definition 4.17.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

1.  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed set  $B$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
2. contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set  $B$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Remark 4.18.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is almost weakly Hausdorff[2] then the  $g$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau_s)$  are  $\delta$ -closed and hence  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.19.** For a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  with  $(Y, \sigma)$  being an almost weakly Hausdorff space, every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute.

**Remark 4.20.**  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute maps and irresolute maps are independent of each other.

**Example 4.21.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be defined by  $f(a) = c, f(b) = b$  and  $f(c) = a$ . Then  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute but not irresolute since the inverse image of  $\{a\}$  is  $\{c\}$  and  $\{c\}$  is not semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 4.22.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be an identity mapping. Then  $f$  is irresolute but not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute since the inverse image of  $\{a, b, d\}$  is  $\{a, b, d\}$  which is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4.23.** Composition of two  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute maps is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map.

**Theorem 4.24.** For topological spaces  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma), (Z, \eta)$ ,  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma), g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  and  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  the following results are true.

- (i) If  $f$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map and  $g$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map) function then  $g \circ f$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous (resp. totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map) function.
- (ii) If  $f$  is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map (resp. strongly continuous, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous) and  $g$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map then  $g \circ f$  is a contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute map (resp. strongly continuous, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous).

From the Theorem 4.23, we observe that  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness acts as a mirror in reflecting the type of continuity in its composition.

**Theorem 4.25.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute then*

- (i) For  $A \subseteq X, f(\lambda_g^\delta cl(A)) \subseteq cl_\delta(f(A))$ .
- (ii) For  $B \subseteq Y, \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \subseteq X$  then  $cl_\delta(f(A))$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  and thus  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(cl_\delta(f(A)))$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$ .  
 $A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_\delta(f(A))) \Rightarrow \lambda_g^\delta cl(A) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(cl_\delta(f(A)))) = f^{-1}(cl_\delta(f(A))) \Rightarrow f(\lambda_g^\delta cl(A)) \subseteq cl_\delta(f(A))$ .

- (ii) Let  $B \subseteq Y$  then  $cl_\delta(B)$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  and thus  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . By hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Since  $B \subseteq cl_\delta(B), f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B)) \Rightarrow \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))) = f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))$ .

□

**Theorem 4.26.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is bijective,  $\delta$ -open and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous then  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  with  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$ , where  $A$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $X$  giving  $B \subseteq f(A)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\delta$ -open,  $f(A)$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $Y$ . Also  $B$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  giving  $cl_\delta(B) \subseteq f(A) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B)) \subseteq A$ . Since  $cl_\delta(B)$  is

$\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ , it is closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Thus  $cl_\delta(f^{-1}(cl_\delta(B))) \supseteq A$ , where  $A$  is  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open as  $A$  is  $\delta$ -open  $\Rightarrow cl_\delta(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq A$ , as  $cl_\delta(B)$  is  $\delta$ -closed. Therefore  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$  proving  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.27.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\delta$ -continuous and closed then  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closedness is preserved under  $f$  (i.e.,) for every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(A) \subseteq G$ , where  $G$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $Y$ . Then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ , where  $f^{-1}(G)$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $X$ . Since  $A$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed,  $cl_\delta(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(G) \Rightarrow f(cl_\delta(A)) \subseteq G$ . Since  $f$  is  $\delta$ -continuous,  $f(cl_\delta(A))$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  and thus  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Thus  $cl_\delta(f(cl_\delta(A))) \subseteq G \Rightarrow cl_\delta(f(A)) \subseteq cl_\delta(f(cl_\delta(A))) = f(cl_\delta(A)) \subseteq G$ . Thus  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.28.** *If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is almost weakly Hausdorff then every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subset of  $X$  is closed.*

**Theorem 4.29.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute and closed with  $(X, \tau)$  being an almost weakly Hausdorff space then every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed set is closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . By hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is almost weakly Hausdorff,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is closed in  $X$ . Once again by hypothesis,  $B$  is closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, this is true for any arbitrary  $B$  in  $Y$ . Therefore every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed set is closed in  $Y$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4.28 answers the question, “Does  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresoluteness preserve the nature of the domain?”. One can easily observe that the property of almost weakly Hausdorffness is not inherited through  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute maps even after including additional conditions.

## 5. $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed maps

**Definition 5.1.** A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is

1.  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open if  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open for every open set  $A$  in  $X$ .
2.  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed if  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed for every closed set  $A$  in  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map with  $f(int(A)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta int(f(A))$ , for every  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an open subset of  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $f(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta \text{int}(f(A))$ . Since  $A$  is open,  $f(A) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta \text{int}(f(A))$ . Thus  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and hence  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed then  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(A))$ , for each  $A \subseteq X$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *For topological spaces  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma), (Z, \eta), f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma), g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  and  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  the following results are true.*

(i) *If  $g \circ f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and  $f$  is continuous, surjective then  $g$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.*

(ii) *If  $g \circ f$  is open and  $g$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous, injective then  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $B$  be open in  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is open in  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(B)) = g(f(f^{-1}(B))) = g(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $Z$ . Thus  $g$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.

(ii) Let  $A$  be open in  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $(g \circ f)(A) = g(f(A))$  is open in  $Z$ . Therefore  $g^{-1}(g(f(A))) = f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open, as  $g$  is an injective  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function. Hence  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed iff for each  $B \subseteq Y$  and each open set  $U$  containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ , there exists a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set  $V \subseteq Y$  such that  $B \subseteq V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B \subseteq Y$ . Take an open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U$ . Then  $X \setminus U$  is closed in  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $f(X \setminus U)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed. Define  $V = Y \setminus (f(X \setminus U))$  then  $V$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $Y$ . Further we prove,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ . Suppose that  $v \notin V$  then  $v \in f(X \setminus U) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \in X \setminus U \Rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \notin U$  then  $f^{-1}(v) \notin f^{-1}(B) \Rightarrow v \notin B$ . Hence  $B \subseteq V$ . Now  $v \in V \Rightarrow v \notin f(X \setminus U) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(v) \notin X \setminus U$  then  $f^{-1}(v) \in U$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *For a bijective map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:*

(i)  *$f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.*

(ii)  *$f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed.*

(iii)  $f^{-1}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $A \subseteq X$  be closed. Then  $X \setminus A$  is open in  $X$ . By (i),  $f(X \setminus A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $f(X \setminus A) = Y \setminus f(A)$  which implies  $f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed.  
(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $A \subseteq X$  be closed. Since  $f$  is bijective,  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(A) = f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous.  
(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let  $A \subseteq X$  be open. Since  $f^{-1}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous and  $f$  is bijective,  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(A) = f(A)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open. Hence  $f$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open.  $\square$

Theorem 5.6 gives the conditions under which  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed maps coincide.

### References

- [1] Crossley, S.G. and Hildebrand, S.K., Semi-topological properties, *Fund. Math.*, **74**(1972), 233 - 254.
- [2] Dontchev, J., Arokiarani, I., Balachandran, K., On generalized  $\delta$ -closed sets and almost weakly Hausdorff spaces, *Q and A in General Topology*, **18**(2000), 17-30.
- [3] Georgiou, D. N., Jafari, S. and Noiri, T., Properties of  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed sets in topological spaces, *Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, 7-B*(2004), 745-756.
- [4] Levine, N., Strong continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **67**(1960), 269 - 275.
- [5] Levine, N., Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*, **19**(1970), 89-96.
- [6] Munshi, B. M. and Bassan, D. S., Super-continuous mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **13**(1982), 229-236.
- [7] Nieminen, T., On ultrapseudocompact and related spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math.*, **3**(1977), 185-205.
- [8] Noiri, T., On  $\delta$ -continuous functions, *J. Korean math. Soc.*, **18**(1980), 161 - 166.
- [9] Stone, M., Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **41** (1937), 374-481.
- [10] Vaishnavy, V. and Sivakamasundari, K., A New Generalization of  $\delta$ -Closed Sets Using Two Different Operators, *International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Research Technology*, **5**(9) (2016), 791-795.
- [11] Vaishnavy, V. and Sivakamasundari, K., Special properties and Continuity aspects on  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **13**(1) (2017), 56-61.
- [12] Velicko, N. V., H-closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **78** (1968), 102-118.

