

**ORTHOGONAL BASED ZERO-STABLE NUMERICAL
INTEGRATOR FOR SECOND ORDER IVPs IN ODEs**

E.O. Adeyefa^{1 §}, R.B. Adeniyi², A.M. Udoye³, N.O. Odafi⁴

^{1,3,4}Department of Mathematics

Federal University Oye-Ekiti
Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, NIGERIA

²Department of Mathematics

University of Ilorin
Ilorin, Kwara State, NIGERIA

Abstract: This paper presents a set of newly constructed polynomials valid in interval $[-1, 1]$ with respect to weight function $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^2$. For applicability sake, the polynomials shall be employed as trial function to develop a fast, efficient and reliable block algorithm for the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations with application to second order initial value problems. Collocation and interpolation techniques were adopted for the formulation of self-starting continuous hybrid schemes. Findings from the analysis of the basic properties of the method using appropriate existing theorems show that the developed schemes are consistent, zero-stable and hence convergent. On implementation, the superiority of the scheme over the existing method is established numerically.

1. Introduction

The focus here is to construct a set of polynomials and develop a continuous

Received: June 28, 2016

Revised: April 6, 2018

Published: December 4, 2018

© 2018 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

method for the solution of initial value problems of the form

$$y'' = f(x, y, y'), y(a) = y_0, y'(a) = z_0 \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (1)$$

where f is continuous in $[a, b]$. Since most of these equations are difficult to solve, efficient ODEs solvers are much needed to approximate them. The numerical solution of ODEs by collocation methods has been studied (see Lambert (1973), Zennaro (1985) and Fairweather and Meade (1989)). In the recent years, the solutions of (1) for cases $m = 1, 2$ and 3 have been extremely discussed (see Adeniyi et al. (2006), Adeniyi and Alabi (2006), Adesanya et al. (2012) and Adeyefa et al. (2014)). The approach of reducing the higher order of (1) to a system of first order and the consequent setback has also been discussed in Lambert (1973). Predictor-corrector method was later adopted and applied but has its setbacks which were discussed in Lambert (1973). To cater for the setback of predictor-corrector methods, the approach of block method came into being. Milne (1953) proposed a method called block method as a means of obtaining starting values which Rosser (1967) developed into algorithms for general use. Later, the modified self-starting block method was developed (see Shampine and Watts (1969), Awoyemi et al. (2014) and Kayode (2009)). The block (4) is a simultaneous producing approximations to the solution of (1) at a block of desired points. However, the effectiveness of these ODE solvers depends on the types of trial functions used in developing the schemes. Various trial functions such as, the Chebyshev polynomials which was introduced in Lanczos (1938) as basis function for the solution of linear differential equations in term of finite expansion, the Legendre polynomials, Power series and the Canonical polynomials have been used to derive continuous schemes. In what follows, we shall construct a set of polynomials valid in interval $[-1, 1]$ with respect to weight function $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^2$.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Construction of the Orthogonal Polynomial

Let the function $q_r(x)$ be defined as

$$q_r(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n C_r^{(n)} x^r \quad (2)$$

on the interval [a, b] where $q_r(x)$ must satisfy

$$\langle q_m, q_n \rangle = \int_a^b w(x)q_m(x)q_n(x)dx = 0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2 \dots n - 1. \tag{3}$$

For the purpose of constructing the basis function, we adopt the approach extensively explained in Adeyefa and Adeniyi (2015) and use additional property (the normalization) $q_n(1) = 1$ where our non-negative weight function is defined as $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^2$. With these requirements, equation (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(x) &= 1 \\ q_1(x) &= x \\ q_2(x) &= \frac{1}{6}(7x^2 - 1) \\ q_3(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(3x^3 - x) \\ q_4(x) &= \frac{1}{16}(33x^4 - 18x^2 + 1) \\ q_5(x) &= \frac{1}{48}(143x^5 - 110x^3 + 15x) \\ q_6(x) &= \frac{1}{32}(143x^6 - 143x^4 + 33x^2 - 1) \\ q_7(x) &= \frac{1}{32}(221x^7 - 273x^5 + 91x^3 - 7x) \\ q_8(x) &= \frac{1}{384}(4199x^8 - 6188x^6 + 2730x^4 - 364x^2 + 7) \\ q_9(x) &= \frac{1}{128}(2261x^9 - 3876x^7 + 2142x^5 - 420x^3 + 21x) \\ q_{10}(x) &= \frac{1}{256}(7429x^{10} - 14535x^8 + 9690x^6 - 2550x^4 + 225x^2 - 3) \end{aligned}$$

In the spirit of [7], these equations must satisfy three-term recurrence relation

$$c_j p(t) = (t - a_j)p_{j-1}(t) - b_j p_{j-2}(t),$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, p_{-1}(t) = 0, p_0(t) \equiv p_0$, where $b_j, c_j > 0$ for $j \geq 1$ (b_1 is arbitrary);

$$c_j p(t) = (n + 5)P_{n+1}(x), \quad (t - a_j)p_{j-1}(t) = (2n + 5)xP_n(x),$$

$$b_j p_{j-2}(t) = nP_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, the recurrence relation of the polynomials above is

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{n + 5}[(2n + 5)xP_n - nP_{n-1}],$$

$n \geq 1, P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x$.

2.2. Derivation of a Numerical Integrator

We consider here the derivation of the proposed continuous hybrid two-step block methods. This we do by approximating the analytical solution of (1) using orthogonal polynomials

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r+s-1} a_j q_j(x) \quad (4)$$

transformed to shifted form by $x = \frac{X-x_n-h}{h}$ in $[0, 1]$ where a_j 's are constants to be determined, r is the number of collocation points and s is the number of interpolation points, on the partition

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} < \dots < x_N = b$$

of the integration interval $[a, b]$ with a constant step size h , given by

$$h = x_{n+1} - x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

We interpolate (4) at $x = x_{n+s}$, $s = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$ and collocate the second derivative of (4) at $x = x_{n+r}$, $r=0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1$ and 2 respectively to obtain a system of equations from which a_j are obtained.

Substituting a_j into (4), we have the continuous scheme

$$y(x) = \alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}(x)y_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + \alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}(x)y_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + h^2(\beta_0(x)f_n + \beta_{\frac{1}{3}}(x)f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + \beta_{\frac{2}{3}}(x)f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + \beta_1(x)f_{n+1} + \beta_2(x)f_{n+2}) \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha(x)$ are $\beta(x)$ parameters to be determined. Evaluating the continuous scheme at the grid point $x = x_{n+2}$, we have

$$y_{n+2} = 5y_{n+\frac{2}{3}} - 4y_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{h^2}{3240}(-490f_n + 2388f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 2715f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 4220f_{n+1} + 197f_{n+2}) \quad (6)$$

To develop the block method from the continuous scheme, we adopt the general block formula proposed in Awoyemi et al. (2014) in the normalized form given as

$$A^{(0)}Y_m = ey_m + h^{\mu-\lambda}df(y_m) + h^{\mu-\lambda}bF(y_m) \quad (7)$$

Evaluating the first derivative of (5) at $x = x_{n+j}$, $j=0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1$ and 2 , substituting the resulting equations and the main method into (7) and solving simultaneously gives a block formulae represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{1}{3}} &= y_n + \frac{h}{3}y'_n + \frac{h^2}{64800}(1870f_n + 2532f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 1095f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &\quad + 300f_{n+1} - 7f_{n+2}), \\
 y_{n+\frac{2}{3}} &= y_n + \frac{2h}{3}y'_n + \frac{h^2}{4050}(270f_n + 696f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 105f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &\quad + 40f_{n+1} - f_{n+2}) \\
 y_{n+1} &= y_n + hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2400}(250f_n + 756f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + 135f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 60f_{n+1} - f_{n+2}) \\
 y_{n+2} &= y_n + 2hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{750}(50f_n + 1080f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 675f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &\quad + 1000f_{n+1} + 45f_{n+2}) \\
 y'_{n+\frac{1}{3}} &= y'_n + \frac{h}{32400}(3860f_n + 9234f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 3105f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 830f_{n+1} - 19f_{n+2}) \\
 y'_{n+\frac{2}{3}} &= y'_n + \frac{h}{4050}(440f_n + 1836f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + 405f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 20f_{n+1} - f_{n+2}) \\
 y'_{n+1} &= y'_n + \frac{h}{1200}(140f_n + 486f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} + 405f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 170f_{n+1} - f_{n+2}) \\
 y'_{n+2} &= y'_n + \frac{h}{150}(-40f_n + 324f_{n+\frac{1}{3}} - 405f_{n+\frac{2}{3}} + 380f_{n+1} + 41f_{n+2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

3. Analysis of the Method

The basic properties of this method such as order, error constant, zero stability and consistency are analyzed here under.

The linear operator L of the block (7) is defined as

$$L(y(x) : h) = Y_m - ey_m + h^{\mu-\lambda}df(y_m) + h^{\mu-\lambda}bF(y_m) \tag{9}$$

Using Taylor series expansion to expand $y(x_n + ih)$ and $f(x_n + jh)$, equation (9) becomes

$$L(y(x) : h) = C_0y(x) + C_1hy'(x) + \dots C_{p+2}h^{p+2}y^{p+2}(x) \tag{10}$$

The block (7) and associated linear operator are said to have order p if $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = \dots = C_p = C_{p+1} = 0, C_{p+2} \neq 0$

The term $C_{p+2} \neq 0$ is called the error constant and the local truncation error is given as

$$t_{n+k} = C_{p+2}h^{(p+2)}y^{(p+2)}(x_n) + 0h^{(p+3)}.$$

Thus, equations (6) is of order 5 and error constant $-\frac{73}{87480}$. The formulae in the block (8) are all of order 5 with error constants C_{p+2} given as

$$C_{p+2} = \left[\frac{829}{110224800} \frac{61}{3444525} \frac{13}{453600} \frac{-11}{14175} \frac{211}{5248800} \frac{7}{328050} \frac{1}{21600} \frac{-1}{450} \right]^T,$$

respectively.

3.1. Zero Stability of the Method

The block (7) is said to be zero-stable if the roots $z = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of the characteristic polynomial $\rho(z) = \det(zA - E)$, satisfy $|z| \leq 1$ and the root $|z| = 1$ has multiplicity not exceeding the order of the differential equation. Also, as $h^\mu \rightarrow 0$, $\rho(z) = z^{r-\mu}(\lambda-1)^\mu$, where μ is the order of the differential equation, $r = \dim(A^{(0)})$.

The proposed method has been investigated to be zero stable.

3.2. Consistency of the Method

A numerical method is consistent if the order, $p \geq 1$.

This method is consistent owing to the fact that the order is five (5).

3.3. Convergence of the Method

According to Dahlquist's theorem, the necessary and sufficient condition for an LMM to be convergent is to be consistent and zero-stable. Since the method satisfies these two conditions, hence its convergence.

4. Numerical Experiment

We demonstrate the method with three test problems.

1. $y'' - y' = 0$, $y(0) = 0$, $y'(0) = -1$

whose analytical solution is $y(x) = 1 - e^x$.

2. $y'' - x(y')^2 = 0$, $y(0) = 1$, $y'(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, $h=0.0025$

Analytical Solution: $y(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2+x}{2-x}$

3. We consider Van der Pols equation $y'' + y' + y + y^2y' = 2cost - cos^3t$, $y(0)=0$, $y'(0)=1$

whose analytical solution is $y(x) = sint$

Tables of Results

Table 1: Numerical Results for Example 1

X	Exact Solution	Proposed Method	Error	Error in Yahaya
0.1	-0.10517091807564762480	-0.10517091807239943619	-3.24818861e-12	8.79316e-05
0.2	-0.22140275816016983390	-0.22140275824581250946	8.564267556e-11	3.26718e-04
0.3	-0.34985880757600310400	-0.34985880792001473211	3.4401162811e-10	2.215564e-03
0.4	-0.49182469764127031780	-0.49182469838377994138	7.4250962358e-10	4.857093e-03
0.5	-0.64872127070012814680	-0.64872127207862860168	1.37850045488e-09	9.097734e-03
0.6	-0.82211880039050897490	-0.82211880260985294537	2.21934397047e-09	1.4391394e-02
0.7	-1.01375270747047652160	-1.01375271085798121930	3.3875046977e-09	2.1437918e-02
0.8	-1.22554092849246760460	-1.22554093333950033000	4.8470327254e-09	2.9898724e-02
0.9	-1.45960311115694966380	-1.45960311790878502900	6.7518353652e-09	4.0300719e-02
1.0	-1.71828182845904523540	-1.71828183752183259550	9.0627873601e-09	5.255213e-02

Table 2: Numerical Results for Example 2

X	Exact Solution	Proposed Method	Error
0.0025	1.00125000065104227700	1.00125000065104227700	0
0.0050	1.00250000520835286470	1.00250000520835286470	0
0.0075	1.00375001757827331690	1.00375001757827331700	1.0e-19
0.0100	1.00500004166729167780	1.00500004166729167790	1.0e-19
0.0125	1.00625008138211573520	1.00625008138211573530	1.0e-19
0.0150	1.00750014062974628450	1.00750014062974628460	1.0e-19
0.0175	1.00875022331755040640	1.00875022331755040660	2.0e-19
0.0200	1.01000033335333476200	1.01000033335333476220	2.0e-19
0.0225	1.01125047464541890790	1.01125047464541890810	2.0e-19
0.0250	1.01250065110270863570	1.01250065110270863600	3.0e-19

Table 3: Numerical Results for Example 3

X	Exact Solution	Proposed Method	Error
0.1	0.09983341664682815231	0.09983341664952788353	2.699731223e-12
0.2	0.19866933079506121546	0.19866933071823573020	7.682548526e-11
0.3	0.29552020666133957511	0.29552020638296494181	2.783746333e-10
0.4	0.38941834230865049167	0.38941834177500388267	5.33646609e-10
0.5	0.47942553860420300027	0.47942553772667219275	8.7753080752e-10
0.6	0.56464247339503535720	0.56464247215636288679	1.23867247041e-9
0.7	0.64421768723769105367	0.64421768559075318406	1.64693786961e-9
0.8	0.71735609089952276163	0.71735608886554084105	2.03398192058e-9
0.9	0.78332690962748338846	0.78332690719964676836	2.4278366201e-9
1.0	0.84147098480789650665	0.84147098203758297041	2.77031353624e-9

5. Conclusion

A successful application of a set of newly constructed polynomials has been demonstrated with the recurrence relation generated. The formulated scheme is desirable owing to the fact that it is convergent, accurate, efficient and compared favourable well with existing methods. The scheme is therefore recommended as second order initial value problems integrator.

References

- [1] E.O.Adeyefa and R.B. Adeniyi, Construction of Orthogonal Basis Function and formulation of Continuous Hybrid Schemes for the solution of third order ODEs' *Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics*, 29 (2015): 21-28.
- [2] R.B. Adeniyi, E.O. Adeyefa, M.O. Alabi, A Continuous Formulation of Some Classical Initial value Solvers by Non- Perturbed Multistep Collocation Approach using Chebyshev Polynomials as Basis Functions. *Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics*, 10 (2006): 261 - 274.
- [3] R.B. Adeniyi, M.O. Alabi, Derivation of Continuous Multistep Methods Using Chebyshev Polynomial Basis Functions, *Abacus*, 33(2B) (2006): 351 - 361.
- [4] A.O. Adesanya, M.O. Udo, A.M. Alkali, A New Block-Predictor Corrector Algorithm for the Solution of $y''' = f(x, y, y', y'')$, *American Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 2 (2012): 341-344.
- [5] E.O. Adeyefa, F.L. Joseph, O.D. Ogwumu, Three-Step Implicit Block Method for Second Order ODEs, *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*, 3(2), (2014): 34-38.

- [6] D.O. Awoyemi, E.A. Adebile, A.O. Adesanya, T.A. Anake, Modified Block Method for Direct Solution of Second Order Ordinary Differential Equation, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 3(3) (2014): 181-188.
- [7] D.O. Awoyemi, S.J. Kayode, L.O. Adoghe, A sixth-Order Implicit method for the numerical integration of initial value problems of third order ordinary differential equations. *Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics*, 28(1) (2014): 95-102.
- [8] G. Fairweather, D. Meade, A survey of spline collocation methods for the numerical solution of differential equations in Mathematics for large scale computing (J.C. Diaz, Ed.), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. New York, Marcel Dekker, 120, (1989). 297-341.
- [9] S.J. Kayode, A Zero Stable Method for Direct Solution of Fourth Order Ordinary Differential Equations. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 5(11) (2009): 1461-1466.
- [10] J.D. Lambert, Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential System, *John Wiley and Sons*, New York (1973).
- [11] W.E. Milne, Numerical Solution of Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons New York., USA (1953).
- [12] C. Lanczos, Trigonometric interpolation of empirical and analytical functions. *J. Math. Physics*, 17 (1938): 123 199.
- [13] W.E. Milne, Numerical Solution of Differential Equations. *John Wiley and Sons*, 1953.
- [14] J.B. Rosser, Runge-Kutta for all seasons. *SIAM*, (9), (1967) : 417-452.
- [15] L.F. Shampine, H.A. Watts, Block Implicit One-Step Methods. *Journal of Math of Computation*, 23(108), (1969): 731-740. doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-1969-0264854-5.
- [16] Y.A. Yahaya, A.M. Badmus, A class of collocation methods for general second order ordinary differential equations. *African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 2(4) (2009), 069-072.
- [17] M. Zennaro, One-step collocation: Uniform superconvergence, predictor-corrector method, local error estimate, *SIAM J. Numerical Analysis* 22 (1985), 1135-1152.

