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1. Introduction

S. Gurgula and N. Perestyuk are the first, which apply the Lyapunov’s Second Method to study the solutions of the impulsive systems. They used the classical continuous Lyapunov’s functions to investigate the stability of zero solution for such systems in [35]. We note that using continuous auxiliary functions reduces strongly the application of the direct method. The main reason for this is the fact that the solutions of the impulsive systems are continuous piecewise functions. The piecewise Lyapunov’s functions are introduced by Bainov and Simeonov in [16]. On the theory of stability of impulsive systems are devoted number of studies, we will cite the following: [4], [5], [10], [11], [12], [13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [34], [35], [37], [39], [40], [41], [44], [47], [48], [50], [51] and [52]. The applications of impulsive equations are numerous. We point out the articles: [1], [2], [3], [14], [26], [28], [29], [30], [36], [42], [43], [49], [52] and [53]. The equations with variable structure are applicable mainly in the control theory [6], [7], [8], [9], [19], [20], [21], [32], [33], [38], [45] and [46].

The main object of investigation is the following initial problem for nonlinear non-autonomous ordinary differential equations with variable structure and impulses in non-fixed moments:

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f_i(t, x), \quad \varphi_i(x(t)) \neq 0, \quad t_{i-1} < t < t_i, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\varphi_i(x(t_i)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (2)
\]

\[
x(t_i + 0) = x(t_i) + I_i(x(t_i)), \quad (3)
\]

\[
x(t_0) = x_0, \quad (4)
\]

where:

- The functions \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^n \);
- The phase space of the system considered \( D \) is non empty domain of \( \mathbb{R}^n \);
- The functions \( \varphi_i : D \to \mathbb{R} \);
- The functions \( I_i : D \to \mathbb{R}^n \);
- \( (I_d + I_i) : D \to D, \) \( I_d \) is the identity in \( \mathbb{R}^n \);
The initial point \((t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D\).

Each one of the functions \(\varphi_i\) and \(I_i\) is called switching and impulsive, respectively, \(i = 1, 2, \ldots\). We denote by \(x(t; t_0, x_0)\) the solution of problem (1), (2), (3), (4). Then

\[
x(t; t_0, x_0) = x(t; t_0, x_0, f_1, \varphi_1, I_1, f_2, \varphi_2, I_2, \ldots)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases}
  x(t; t_0, x_0, f_1), & t_0 < t < t_1; \\
  x(t; t_0, x_0, f_1, \varphi_1, I_1, f_2), & t_1 < t < t_2; \\
  \vdots & \\
  x(t; t_0, x_0, f_1, \varphi_1, I_1, f_2, \ldots, f_i, \varphi_i, I_i, f_{i+1}), & t_i < t < t_{i+1}; \\
  \vdots
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

We introduce the notations:

- \(\Phi_i = \{x \in D; \varphi_i(x) = 0\}, i = 1, 2, \ldots\), are switching hypersurfaces of the problem considered;
- \(\Phi = \bigcup_{i=1,2,\ldots} \Phi_i\);
- \(\gamma(t_0, x_0) = \{x(t; t_0, x_0)\}, t_0 \leq t < \infty\) is the trajectory of the problem for \(t_0 \leq t < \infty\);
- \(B_{\delta}(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - x_0\| < \delta\}\).

2. Preliminary Remarks

We use the following conditions:

H1. \(0 \in D\) and \(f_i(t, 0) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots\).

H2. \(\varphi_i(0) = \varphi_i(0, 0, \ldots, 0) \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots\), i.e. \((0, 0, \ldots, 0) \notin \Phi\).

H3. The functions \(f_i \in C[\mathbb{R}^+ \times D, \mathbb{R}^n], i = 1, 2, \ldots\).

H4. The constant \(C_f > 0\) exists, such that

\[
(\forall (t, x) \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times D) \Rightarrow \|f_i(t, x)\| \leq C_f, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
H5. The functions $\varphi_i \in C^1[D, \mathbb{R}]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.

H6. The constant $C_{\varphi} \text{grad} \varphi > 0$ exists, such that
\[(\forall x \in D) \Rightarrow \| \text{grad} \varphi_i (x) \| \leq C_{\varphi} \text{grad} \varphi, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots.\]

H7. The functions $I_i \in C[D, \mathbb{R}^n]$ and $(\text{Id} + I_i) : \Phi_i \rightarrow D$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.

H8. The constant $C_{\varphi(I+I)} > 0$ exists, such that
\[(\forall x \in \Phi_i) \Rightarrow | \varphi_{i+1} ((\text{Id} + I_i) (x)) | = | \varphi_{i+1} (x + I_i (x)) | \geq C_{\varphi(I+I)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots.\]

H9. The next inequalities are valid:
\[\varphi_{i+1} ((\text{Id} + I_i) (x)) \langle \text{grad} \varphi_{i+1} (x), f_{i+1} (t, x) \rangle < 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots.\]

H10. For every point $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ the solution of the initial problem
\[\frac{dx}{dt} = f_i (t, x), \quad x (t_0) = x_0\]
exists and it is unique for $t \geq t_0$.

The following theorems are valid.

**Theorem 1.** The conditions H1, H2 and H3 are fulfilled. Then the impulsive system (1), (2), (3) possesses a zero solution, i.e. $x (t; t_0, 0) = 0$ for $0 \leq t_0 \leq t < \infty$.

The following two theorems will be useful for the subsequent consideration.

**Theorem 2.** (see [20]) The conditions H3–H9 are fulfilled. Then:

1. If the trajectory $\gamma (t_0, x_0)$ of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) meets consecutively the switching hypersurfaces $\Phi_i$ and $\Phi_{i+1}$, then the following estimate is valid for the corresponding switching moments $t_i$ and $t_{i+1}$:
\[t_{i+1} - t_i \geq \frac{C_{\varphi(I+I)}}{C_{\text{grad} \varphi} \cdot C_f}.\]
2. If the trajectory \( \gamma(t_0, x_0) \) meets all the switching hypersurfaces \( \Phi_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots \), then the moments of switching grow up boundlessly, i.e. \( \lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty \).

**Theorem 3.** (see [20]) The conditions H3–H10 are fulfilled: Then the solution \( x(t; t_0, x_0) \) of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) exists and it is unique for \( t_0 \leq t < \infty \).

The following three definitions are fundamental for the research in this article.

**Definition 1.** We say that the zero solution of problem (1), (2), (3) is:

- **stable** on the initial condition, if:
  \[ (\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+) \ (\forall \varepsilon = \text{const} > 0) \ (\exists \delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0) : \]
  \[ (\forall x_0 \in D, \| x_0 \| < \delta) \Rightarrow \| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \ t \geq t_0; \]

- **uniformly stable** on the initial condition, if:
  \[ (\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+) \ (\forall \varepsilon = \text{const} > 0) \ (\exists \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0) : \]
  \[ (\forall x_0 \in D \cap B_\delta(0)) \Rightarrow \| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, t \geq t_0; \]

- **asymptotically stable** on the initial condition, if it is stable and
  \[ (\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+) \ (\exists \lambda = \lambda(t_0) > 0) : \ (\forall x_0 \in D \cap B_\lambda(0)) \]
  \[ \Rightarrow \lim_{i \to \infty} x(t; t_0, x_0) = 0; \]

- **uniform asymptotically stable** on the initial condition, if it is uniformly stable and
  \[ (\exists \lambda = \text{const} > 0) (\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\forall \varepsilon = \text{const} > 0) (\exists T = T(\varepsilon) > 0) : \]
  \[ (\forall t \geq t_0 + T) (\forall x_0 \in B_\lambda(0)) \Rightarrow \| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon. \]

**Definition 2.** We say that the *sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions* is given:

\[ \{ V_i; V_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+; i = 1, 2, \ldots \} , \]

corresponding to the system of differential equations with variable structure and impulses (1), (2), (3), if:
1. \[ V_i \in C[\mathbb{R}^+ \times D \setminus \Phi_i, \mathbb{R}^+] \], \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \).

2. For every point \((t, x_{\Phi_i}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i\) and each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \) there exist limits:

\[
\lim_{x \to x_{\Phi_i}, \Phi_i(x) < 0} V_i (t, x) = V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i} - 0) = V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i}),$

\[
\lim_{x \to x_{\Phi_i}, \Phi_i(x) > 0} V_i (t, x) = V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i} + 0).
\]

We note that the equalities in the definition above

\[ V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i} - 0) = V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i}), \quad (t, x_{\Phi_i}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]

are given for “completeness” of the statement. Without loss of generality we assume that

\[ V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i} + 0) = V_i (t, x_{\Phi_i}), \quad (t, x_{\Phi_i}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots .\]

**Definition 3.** Let \( \{V_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots\} \) is a sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions.

Then for every point \((t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \setminus \Phi_i\) and for each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \) we define a derivative of Lyapunov’s function \( V_i \) at the point \((t, x)\) of impulsive system \( (1), (2), (3): \)

\[
\dot{V}_i (t, x) = \dot{V}_{i, (1), (2), (3)} (t, x) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} (V_i (t + h, x + hf_i (t, x)) - V_i (t, x)).
\]

**Remark 1.** It can be shown that for every point

\[ (t, x) = (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \in [t_0, \infty) \times D \setminus \Phi_i \]

and for each \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \) it is satisfied

\[
\dot{V}_i (t, x) = D^{+}_{(1), (2), (3)} V_i (t, x)
\]

\[
= D^{+}_{(1), (2), (3)} V_i (t, x (t; t_0, x_0))
\]

\[
= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} (V_i (t + h, x (t + h; t_0, x_0)) - V_i (t, x (t; t_0, x_0))).
\]

In other words, the derivative of each of the Lyapunov’s functions \( V_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \), in every point

\[ (t, x) = (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \in (t_0, \infty) \times D \setminus \Phi_i \]
of the impulsive system of differential equations (1), (2), (3) coincides with the upper right Dini derivative at the same point on the solution of the system considered.

Further we will use the following class of scalar functions:

\[ K = \{ a \in C[\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+], \text{a is strictly monotonically increasing and } a(0) = 0 \}. \]

### 3. Main Results

The main purpose of this paragraph is finding the sufficient conditions for some types of stability of the zero solution of system (1) (2) (3) by means of sequences of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions.

**Theorem 4.** Let the following conditions hold:

1. The conditions H1-H9 are valid.

2. There exists a sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions

\[ \{V_i; V_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots \}, \]

 corresponding to the impulsive system of differential equations (1), (2), (3), such that:

(2.1) \( V_i(t, 0) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots; \)

(2.2) To the upper sequence of Lyapunov’s functions

\[ \{V_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots \}, \]

 a function \( a \in K \) corresponds, such that

\[ a (\| x \|) \leq V_i(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, i = 1, 2, \ldots; \]

(2.3) It is satisfied

\[ V_{i+1} (t + 0, x + I_i(x)) = V_{i+1} (t, x + I_i(x)) \leq V_i(t, x), \]

\[ (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots; \]
(2.4) It is satisfied
\[ \dot{V}_i(t, x) \leq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (D \setminus \Phi_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]

(2.5) The sequence of Lyapunov’s functions \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \) is equipotential (of the same degree) continuous at the point \( 0 \in D \setminus \Phi \), uniformly in the argument \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), i.e.
\[ (\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists \delta = \delta (\varepsilon) > 0) : \]
\[ (\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (D \cap B_\delta(0))) (\forall i = 1, 2, \ldots) \]
\[ \Rightarrow |V_i(t, x) - V_i(t, 0)| = V_i(t, x) < \varepsilon. \]

Then the initial problem of impulsive system differential equations (1), (2), (3), (4) possesses a solution continuable for all \( t \geq t_0 \).

Proof. According to Theorem 1, the zero solution of the system differential equations with variable structure and impulses (1), (2), (3) exists for \( 0 \leq t_0 \leq t < \infty \).

Since \( 0 \in D \) and \( D \) is a domain, i.e. open set, then, it follows that
\[ (\exists \alpha = \text{const} > 0) : B_\alpha(0) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \| x \| < \alpha \} \subset D. \]

For every \( t \geq t_0 \), we introduce the notation
\[ \Delta = \Delta (t; a, \alpha, V_1, V_2, \ldots) = \bigcap_{i=1,2,\ldots} \Delta_i (t; a, \alpha, V_i) \]
\[ = \bigcap_{i=1,2,\ldots} \{ x \in D : V_i(t, x) \leq a(\alpha) \}. \]

Under condition 2.5 of the theorem, the sequence of Lyapunov’s functions is equipotential continuous at the point \( 0 \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), uniformly in the variable \( t \geq t_0 \) and therefore the set \( \Delta \neq \emptyset \). Using the same condition 2.5, we deduce that the set \( \Delta \) has a form
\[ \Delta = D \cap B_\delta (0), \]
where constant \( \delta = \delta (a, \alpha) \). The point \( 0 \) is internal to \( \Delta \). Then
\[ (\exists \lambda = \text{const} > 0) : B_\lambda (0) \subset \Delta. \]

Let \( t \) be an arbitrary point, satisfying the inequalities: \( t > t_0, t \neq t_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \), and let \( k \) be is the biggest number for which the inequality \( t > t_k \) is valid. Such a number exists. Indeed, if the switching moments are finite
number, this fact is trivial. If the switching moments are infinitely many, then according to Theorem 2 it is fulfilled $\lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty$ and therefore, there exists number $k$ such that $t_k < t < t_{k+1}$. Let $x_0 \in B_\lambda (0) \subset \Delta$. The following inequalities are valid:

$$a(\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \|) \leq V_k (t, x(t; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_k (t_{k-1} + 0, x(t_{k-1} + 0; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_k (t_{k-1} + 0, x(t_{k-1}; t_0, x_0) + I_{k-1} (x(t_{k-1}; t_0, x_0)))$$
$$\leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-1} - 0, x(t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-2} + 0, x(t_{k-2} + 0; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-2} + 0, x(t_{k-2}; t_0, x_0) + I_{k-2} (x(t_{k-2}; t_0, x_0)))$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\leq V_2 (t_1 + 0, x(t_1 + 0; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_2 (t_1 + 0, x(t_1; t_0, x_0) + I_1 (x(t_1; t_0, x_0)))$$
$$\leq V_1 (t_1 - 0, x(t_1 - 0; t_0, x_0))$$
$$\leq V_1 (t_0, x_0)$$
$$\leq a(\alpha).$$

Then, from the above inequality, it follows that if the initial point $x_0 \in B_\lambda (0)$, then

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| \leq \alpha,$$

i.e. the solution of the problem investigated $x(t; t_0, x_0) \in B_\alpha (0) \subset D$ for $t \geq t_0$. The latter fact means that for every initial point $x_0 \in B_\lambda (0)$ the solution of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) is continued (extended) up to $\infty$.

The theorem is proved. $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let the conditions of the previous theorem hold.

Then the zero solution of the system studied (1), (2), (3) is stable in respect to the initial condition.

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$. The following two cases take place:

Case 1. The system considered of differential equations with variable structure and impulses possesses a finite number of switching moments: $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$, $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_k$. Let $t_0 \leq t < t_1$. Then $x(t; t_0, x_0)$ is (continuous) solu-
tion of the problem without impulses

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f_1(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0.
\]

Under condition 2.1 of Theorem 4 and using continuity of the function \(V_1\) at point \(0 \notin \Phi_1\), it follows that

\[
(\forall \varepsilon > 0) \exists \delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0 \exists x \in D \cap B_{\delta}(0) \Rightarrow V_1(t_0, x) < a(\varepsilon),
\]

i.e.

\[
\sup \{V_1(t_0, x); \forall x \in D \cap B_{\delta}(0)\} < a(\varepsilon), \quad \delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0.
\]  \(7\)

For \(t_0 \leq t < t_1\), according to condition 2.4 of Theorem 4, it is satisfied

\[
\dot{V}_1(t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) \leq 0.
\]  \(8\)

Therefore,

\[
V_1(t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_1(t_0, x_0), \quad t_0 \leq t < t_1.
\]  \(9\)

Let \(x_0 \in D \cap B_{\delta}(0)\). Then, using consecutively condition 2.2 of Theorem 4, inequality (8) and (7), we find

\[
a(\|x(t; t_0, x_0)\|) \leq V_1(t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_1(t_0, x_0) \leq a(\varepsilon),
\]

\[
t_0 \leq t < t_1.
\]  \(9\)

From the inequalities above, using the fact \(a \in K\), we conclude that

\[
\|x(t; t_0, x_0)\| < \varepsilon, \quad t_0 \leq t < t_1.
\]

Let \(t_1 < t < t_2\). Then the solution of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) is continuous and coincides with the solution of the problem without impulses.

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f_2(t, x), \quad x(t_1 + 0) = x(t_1; t_0, x_0) + I_1(x(t_1; t_0, x_0)).
\]

In accordance with condition 2.3 of Theorem 4, it is satisfied

\[
V_2(t_1 + 0, x(t_1; t_0, x_0) + I_1(x(t_1; t_0, x_0))) \leq V_1(t_1, x(t_1; t_0, x_0))
\]

\[
= V_1(t_1 - 0, x(t_1 - 0; t_0, x_0)).
\]  \(10\)

Using (9) it is valid

\[
V_1(t_1 - 0, x(t_1 - 0; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_1(t_0, x_0) \leq a(\varepsilon).
\]  \(11\)
For $t_1 < t < t_2$, according to condition 2.4 of Theorem 4 (similar to (8)) we deduce

$$V_2 (t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) = V_2 (t_1 + 0, x(t_1 + 0; t_0, x_0))$$

$$\leq V_2 (t_1 + 0, x(t_1; t_0, x_0) + I_1 (x(t_1; t_0, x_0))) . \tag{12}$$

where $t_1 < t < t_2$.

Applied consistently condition 2.2 of the previous theorem, inequalities (12), (10) and (11), we obtain

$$a (\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \|) \leq V_2 (t, x(t; t_0, x_0))$$

$$\leq V_2 (t_1 + 0, x(t_1; t_0, x_0) + I_1 (x(t_1; t_0, x_0)))$$

$$\leq V_1 (t_1 - 0, x(t_1 - 0; t_0, x_0))$$

$$\leq V_1 (t_0, x_0)$$

$$\leq a (\varepsilon), \quad t_1 < t < t_2 .$$

Since $a \in K$, then from the last inequalities, it follows

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t_1 < t < t_2 .$$

Similar to the inequality above, we obtain consecutively

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t_{i-1} < t < t_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k , \tag{13}$$

and also

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t_k < t < \infty . \tag{14}$$

Taking into consideration the equations

$$x(t_i - 0; t_0, x_0) = x(t_i; t_0, x_0), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots , \tag{15}$$

then, using (13) and (14), we obtain the conclusion

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t_0 < t < \infty , \tag{16}$$

which completes the proof in this case.

Case 2. There exist infinity many switching moments: $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots$ Similar to the previous case (inequality (13)), we find

$$\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t_{i-1} < t < t_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots .$$

From the inequalities above, using that $\lim_{i \to \infty} t_i = \infty$ (see second part of Theorem 2) and equalities (15) once again, we come to the inequality (16).

The theorem is proved. \qed
Theorem 6. Let the following conditions hold:

1. The conditions H1–H9 are valid.

2. There exists a sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions
   \[ \{ V_i; V_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots \} , \]
   corresponding to the impulsive system differential equations (1), (2), (3), such that:
   
   (2.1) \( V_i (t, 0) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots ; \)
   
   (2.2) To the sequence above of Lyapunov’s functions \( \{ V_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots \} \), the functions \( a, b \in K \) correspond, such that
   
   \[ a (\| x \|) \leq V_i (t, x) \leq b (\| x \|), \ (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots ; \]

   (2.3) It is satisfied
   
   \[ V_{i+1} (t + 0, x + I_i (x)) = V_{i+1} (t, x + I_i (x)) \leq V_i (t, x), \]
   
   \[ (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots ; \]

   (2.4) It is satisfied
   
   \[ \dot{V}_i (t, x) \leq 0, (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (D \setminus \Phi_i), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots . \]

Then the impulsive system (1), (2), (3) has uniformly stable zero solution.

Proof. By the inequality

\[ V_i (t, x) \leq b (\| x \|), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, i = 1, 2, \ldots \]

it follows that the Lyapunov’s functions sequence \( V_1, V_2, \ldots \) is equipotential continuous at point 0, \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), uniformly in argument \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). It means that the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied and therefore, if the initial point \( x_0 \) is “sufficiently close” to 0, then the solution \( x (t; t_0, x_0) \) of the basic problem is defined for \( t \in [t_0, \infty) \). For example, let the constant \( \lambda > 0 \) exists, such that for \( (t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times B_\lambda (0) \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \), the solution is continued up to \( \infty \).

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). We choose the constant \( \delta = \delta (\varepsilon), 0 < \delta < \lambda \), such that, the inequality \( b (\delta) < a (\varepsilon) \) is valid. Let \( x_0 \in B_\delta (0) \). Let \( t \) be an arbitrary point,
satisfying the inequalities: \( t > t_0, t \neq t_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \). Let \( k \) be the biggest number, for which the inequality \( t > t_k \) is fulfilled. As we noted in the proof of Theorem 2, such a number exists. Analogously to (6) we establish the following inequalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
a (\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \|) & \leq V_k (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \\
& \leq V_k (t_{k-1} + 0, x (t_{k-1} + 0; t_0, x_0)) \\
& \leq V_k (t_{k-1} + 0, x (t_{k-1}; t_0, x_0) + I_{k-1} (x (t_{k-1}; t_0, x_0))) \\
& \leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-1} - 0, x (t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0)) \\
& \vdots \\
& \leq V_1 (t_1 - 0, x (t_1 - 0; t_0, x_0)) \\
& \leq V_1 (t_0, x_0) \\
& \leq b (\| x_0 \|) \leq b (\delta) \leq a (\varepsilon).
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

Therefore, if \( x_0 \in B_{\delta} (0) \), then

\[
\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t > t_0, \quad t \neq t_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Taking into account the equations

\[
x (t_i - 0; t_0, x_0) = x (t_i; t_0, x_0), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

we reach the conclusion that

\[
\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t > t_0 \geq 0, \quad x_0 \in B_{\delta} (0), \quad \delta = \delta (\varepsilon) > 0.
\]

The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 7.** Let the following conditions hold:

1. The conditions H1–H9 are valid.
2. There exists a sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions

\[
\{ V_i: V_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots \},
\]

corresponding to the impulsive system differential equations (1), (2), (3), such that:

\[
(2.1) \quad V_i (t, 0) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots;
\]
(2.2) To the sequence above of Lyapunov’s functions
\[ \{V_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots\}, \]
the functions \( a, b \in K \) correspond, such that
\[ a(\|x\|) \leq V_i(t, x) \leq b(\|x\|), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, i = 1, 2, \ldots; \]

(2.3) It is satisfied
\[ V_{i+1}(t+0, x + I_i(x)) = V_i(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots; \]

(2.4) There exists corresponding function \( c \in K \), such that
\[ \dot{V}_i(t, x) \leq -c(\|x\|), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (D \setminus \Phi_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Then, impulsive system (1), (2), (3) has uniform-asymptotically stable zero solution.

Proof. The proof of the theorem will be split in several parts.

Part 1. Since the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied, it follows that the zero solution of problem (1) (2) (3) is uniformly stable.

Part 2. As \( 0 \in D \) and \( D \) is a domain, i.e. open set, then it follows that
\[ (\exists \alpha = \text{const} > 0) : B_\alpha(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| < \alpha\} \subset D. \]

For every \( t \geq t_0 \), as in the proof of Theorem 4, we introduce the notation
\[ \Delta = \Delta(t; a, \alpha, V_1, V_2, \ldots) = \bigcap_{i=1,2,\ldots} \Delta_i(t; a, \alpha, V_i) = \bigcap_{i=1,2,\ldots} \{x \in D : V_i(t, x) \leq a(\alpha)\}. \]

According to the inequalities
\[ V_i(t, x) \leq b(\|x\|), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]
the sequence of Lyapunov’s functions is equipotential continuous at the point 0, uniformly in the variable \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and therefore the set \( \Delta \neq \emptyset \). Using condition 2.2 of the theorem, we deduce that
\[ \Delta \subset B_\alpha(0) \subset D. \]
Let \( t \) be an arbitrary point, satisfying the inequalities: \( t > t_0, t \neq t_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \), and let \( k \) be the biggest number for which the inequality \( t > t_k \) is valid. Similarly to (17), using the conditions 2.3 and 2.4 of the theorem, we obtain

\[
V_k (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_1 (t_0, x_0).
\]

From the inequality above, it follows that, if the initial point \( x_0 \in \triangle \), then

\[
V_k (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \leq a (\alpha),
\]

i.e. the solution of the problem investigated \( x (t; t_0, x_0) \in \triangle \subset B_{\alpha} (0) \subset D \) for \( t \geq t_0 \). The latter fact means that for every initial point \( x_0 \in \triangle \), the solution of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) is continuable up to \( \infty \).

**Part 3.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). We choose the constant \( \eta = \eta (\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that, the inequality \( b (\eta) < a (\varepsilon) \) is valid. Let the constant \( T \) satisfies the inequality

\[
T > \frac{b(\alpha)}{c(\eta)}.
\]  

(18)

Assume that for every \( t, t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T \) the next inequality is valid

\[
\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \| \geq \eta.
\]

Then, we obtain successively

\[
\begin{align*}
a (\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \|) & \leq V_k (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_k (t_k + 0, x (t_k + 0; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_k \left( t_k, x (t_k; t_0, x_0) + I_{k-1} (x (t_k - 0; t_0, x_0)) \right) - \int_{t_{k+0}}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau \leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-0}, x (t_{k-0}; t_0, x_0)) - \int_{t_{k+0}}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau \leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-1} + 0, x (t_{k-1} + 0; t_0, x_0)) + \int_{t_{k+1}+0}^{t} \dot{V}_{k-1} (\tau, x (\tau; t_0, x_0)) d\tau.
\end{align*}
\]
\[- \int_{t_k+0}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau \leq V_{k-1} (t_{k-1}, x (t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0)) + I_{k-2} (x (t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0)) \]

\[- \int_{t_{k-1}+0}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau \leq V_{k-2} (t_k - 0, x (t_k - 0; t_0, x_0)) \]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[- \int_{t_{k-1}+0}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau \leq V_1 (t_0, x_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t} c (\| x (\tau; t_0, x_0) \|) d\tau < b (\alpha) - c (\eta) (t - t_0). \]

Then, from the inequalities above, for sufficiently large values of \( t, t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T \) (\( t \)-values are “close” to \( t_0 + T \)), taking into consideration the restriction (18), we obtain the inequality

\[
0 \leq a (\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \|) < b (\alpha) - c (\eta) (t - t_0) < 0,
\]

which is a contradiction. Thereby, the conclusion is that there exists a point \( t^*, t_0 < t^* \leq t_0 + T \), such that

\[
\| x (t^*; t_0, x_0) \| < \eta.
\]

**Part 4.** Let \( t \geq t_0 + T \geq t^* \). The following inequalities are valid

\[
t > t_k > t_{k-1} > \ldots > t_{k-p} > t^* > t_{k-p-1},
\]

and \( k \) is the biggest number with this property. From conditions 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 of the theorem, we find

\[
a (\| x (t; t_0, x_0) \|) \leq V_k (t, x (t; t_0, x_0)) < V_k (t_k + 0, x (t_k + 0; t_0, x_0)) = V_k (t_k + 0, x (t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0)) + I_{k-1} (x (t_{k-1} - 0; t_0, x_0)) \leq V_{k-1} (t_k - 0, x (t_k - 0; t_0, x_0)) \]

\[
\vdots \leq V_{k-p-1} (t_{k-p} - 0, x (t_{k-p} - 0; t_0, x_0))
\]
Therefore, if \( x_0 \in \triangle \), then

\[
\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \; t \geq t_0 + T.
\]

(19)

Part 5. Let \( \lambda = \text{const} > 0 \) be such that \( b(\lambda) < a(\alpha) \). Then, if \( x_0 \in B_{\lambda}(0) \), then it is fulfilled

\[
V_1(t_0, x_0) \leq b(\| x_0 \|) < b(\lambda) < a(\alpha),
\]

i.e. \( x_0 \in \triangle \). Consequently, if \( x_0 \in B_{\lambda}(0) \), then the solution \( x(t; t_0, x_0) \) of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) is continuable up to \( \infty \) and the inequality (19) is valid.

The theorem is proved. \( \square \)

**Theorem 8.** Let the following conditions hold:

1. The conditions H1–H9 are valid.

2. There exists a sequence of scalar piecewise continuous Lyapunov’s functions

\[
\{ V_i; V_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots \},
\]

corresponding to the impulsive system differential equations (1), (2), (3), such that:

(2.1) \( V_i(t, 0) = 0, \; t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots ; \)

(2.2) To the sequence above of Lyapunov’s functions

\[
\{ V_i; i = 1, 2, \ldots \},
\]

the functions \( a, b \in K \) correspond, such that

\[
a(\| x \|) \leq V_i(t, x) \leq b(\| x \|), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times D, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots ;
\]

(2.3) It is satisfied

\[
V_{i+1}(t + 0, x + I_i(x)) = V_{i+1}(t, x + I_i(x)) \leq V_i(t, x),
\]

\[
(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Phi_i, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots ;
\]
(2.4) There exists a constant \( c \in \mathbb{R} \), such that
\[
\dot{V}_i(t, x) \leq -c.V_i(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (D \setminus \Phi_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]

Then, impulsive system (1), (2), (3) has uniform-asymptotically stable zero solution.

Proof. As in the previous theorem, we show that:

- There exists a constant \( \lambda > 0 \) such that, if \( x_0 \in B_\lambda(0) \), then the solution \( x(t; t_0, x_0) \) of problem (1), (2), (3), (4) is continuable up to \( \infty \);
- The zero solution of problem (1), (2), (3) is uniformly stable;
- There exists a constant \( \alpha > 0 \) such that \( B_\alpha(0) \subset D \).

We choose the constant \( \lambda > 0 \) such that, the inequality \( b(\lambda) < a(\alpha) \) is valid. Let \( \varepsilon \) be an arbitrary positive constant and also \( T = \text{const} > \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{c} \ln \frac{a(\alpha)}{a(\varepsilon)} \right\} > 0 \).

Furthermore, we will require the initial point \( x_0 \in B_\lambda(0) \). Let \( t \) be an arbitrary point, satisfying the inequalities: \( t > t_0 + T, t \neq t_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \). Assume that \( k \) is the biggest number, for which the inequality \( t > t_k \) is valid.

We obtain consecutively
\[
\begin{align*}
V_k(t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) &\leq V_k(t_k + 0, x(t_k + 0; t_0, x_0)) \\
&\quad \times \exp(-c (t - t_k)) \\
&= V_k(t_k, x(t_k - 0; t_0, x_0) + I_{k-1} (x(t_k - 0; t_0, x_0))) \\
&\quad \times \exp(-c (t - t_k)) \\
&\leq V_{k-1}(t_k, x(t_k - 0; t_0, x_0)) \exp(-c (t - t_k)) \\
&\leq V_{k-1}(t_{k-1} + 0, x(t_{k-1} + 0; t_0, x_0)) \\
&\quad \times \exp(-c (t_k - t_{k-1})) \exp(-c (t - t_k)) \\
&= V_{k-1}(t_{k-1}, x(t_{k-1} + 0; t_0, x_0)) \exp(-c (t - t_{k-1})) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\leq V_1(t_0, x(t_0 + 0; t_0, x_0)) \exp(-c (t - t_0)) \\
&= V_1(t_0, x_0) \exp(-c (t - t_0)) \\
&\leq b(\|x_0\|) \exp(-c.T)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \leq a(\alpha) \exp(-cT). \]

There are following two cases:

**Case 1.** The equality \( T = 0 \) is valid. Therefore \( \alpha \leq \varepsilon \) and \( a(\alpha) \leq a(\varepsilon) \). In this case, using (20) we get

\[ a(\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \|) \leq V_k(t, x(t; t_0, x_0)) \leq a(\alpha) \exp(-c0) \leq a(\varepsilon), \]

i.e.

\[ \| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t > t_0 + T, \ t \neq t_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Taking into account the next equalities

\[ x(t_i - 0; t_0, x_0) = x(t_i; t_0, x_0), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \]

we conclude that

\[ \| x(t; t_0, x_0) \| < \varepsilon, \quad t > t_0 + T, \ x_0 \in B_\lambda(0). \quad (21) \]

**Case 2.** It is fulfilled \( T = \frac{1}{c} \ln \frac{a(\alpha)}{a(\varepsilon)}. \) Reiterating from (20), we find

\[ a(\| x(t; t_0, x_0) \|) \leq a(\alpha) \exp(-cT) \leq a(\varepsilon), \]

from where similarly to the preceding case, we find inequality (21).

The theorem is proved. \( \square \)
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